

SCOTTISH BORDERS COUNCIL

**TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY
(incorporating the Annual Investment Strategy)
2015/16**

DRAFT

CONTENTS

Section		Page
1	Purpose and Scope	3
2	Background	4
3	The Capital Prudential Indicators 2015/16 – 2017/18	5
4	Treasury Management Strategy	8
5	Investment Strategy 2015/16	15
6	Performance Indicators	22
7	Monitoring and Reporting	23
8	Treasury Management Consultants and Advisers	24
9	Member and Officer Training	24
	ANNEXES	
Annex A	Summary of Prudential and Treasury Indicators	26
Annex B	Interest Rate Forecast 2014 - 2018	27
Annex C	Economic Background	28
Annex D	Credit and Counterparty Risk Management – Permitted Investments, Associated Controls and Limits	31
Annex E	Credit Ratings	37
Annex F	Benchmarking and Monitoring Security, Liquidity and Yield	38
	Glossary of Terms	39

1 Purpose and Scope

1.1 The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports on treasury activity each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimated and actual figures.

a) **Treasury Management Strategy 2015/16** (this report).

This report is the most important of the three reports and covers:

- The capital plans of the Council (including prudential indicators);
- The treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are organised), including treasury indicators, and
- An investment strategy (investment options and limits applied).

b) **Mid Year Treasury Management Report** – This will update members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and assess whether the actual treasury strategy is adhering to the approved strategy, or whether any policies require revision.

c) **Annual Treasury Report** - This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators compared to the estimates within the strategy and the performance of actual treasury operations.

1.2 Scrutiny

These reports are required to be adequately scrutinised by committee before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the **Audit and Risk Committee**.

1.3

The treasury management issues covered by this report are:

Capital Issues

- the capital plans and associated prudential indicators

Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position
- treasury indicators which will limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council
- prospects for interest rates
- the borrowing strategy
- policy on borrowing in advance of need
- debt rescheduling
- the investment strategy
- creditworthiness policy and
- policy on use of external service providers

1.4 These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003, the CIFPA Prudential Code (the Prudential Code), the CIFPA Treasury Management Code (the Code) and Scottish Government Investment Regulations.

1.5 Treasury Management Consultants

The Council uses Capita Asset Services as its external treasury management advisors.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times and will ensure that it does not rely solely upon information and advice from its external service providers.

It also recognises however that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to gain access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

- 1.6** The Treasury Management Strategy covers the treasury management activities for the Council (including any subsidiary organisations), the cash managed by the Council on behalf of the Scottish Borders Council Pension Fund, the Common Good and Trust Funds.

2 Background

- 2.1** The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash received during the year will meet cash expenditure. A major aspect of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, ensuring adequate liquidity before considering investment return.
- 2.2** The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, being essentially longer term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.
- 2.3** The Prudential and Treasury Indicators (summarised in **Annex A**) consider the affordability and impact of capital expenditure decisions, and set out the Council's overall capital framework. These Indicators have been developed in line with both the Prudential and Treasury Codes. The treasury service considers the effective funding of these decisions. Together they form part of the process which ensures the Council meets its balanced budget requirement under the Local Government Finance Act 1992. The Treasury Management Strategy therefore forms an integral part of the Council's overall Financial Strategy covering both its revenue and capital budgets.
- 2.4** CIPFA defines treasury management as:

“The management of the local authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”

3 The Capital Prudential Indicators 2015/16 – 2017/18

The Council's 5 year Financial Strategy sets out the parameters for its financial management. The Financial Strategy is required to:

- (i) Raise the funds required by the Council to meet approved service levels in the most effective manner;
- (ii) Manage the effective deployment of those funds in line with the Council's corporate objectives and priorities; and
- (iii) Provide stability in resource planning and service delivery as expressed through revenue and capital budgets and approved Corporate Plans.

As part of achieving these aims the Financial Strategy sets out to continue to invest in infrastructure through a sustainable capital programme financed by £20.7m capital financing revenue implications per annum (reducing to £19.9m per annum from 2017/18). This creates the affordability and sustainability financial boundaries for the development of the Council's Capital Financial Plan.

The Council's Capital Financial Plan is the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

3.1 Capital Expenditure (Prudential Indicator PI-1)

- a) This prudential Indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this planning cycle. The Capital Financial Plan for 2015/16 – 2024/25 includes the following capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital Expenditure (PI-1) £m	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Place	26.4	21.1	21.4	9.7
People	5.1	24.5	15.0	7.9
Chief Executive	6.1	9.5	10.6	7.3
Emergency & Unplanned Schemes	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total	37.8	55.4	47.3	25.2

3.2 Other Relevant Expenditure

- a) The Council anticipates to have additional expenditure which, for the purposes of the Treasury and Prudential Indicators, will be treated as capital expenditure. This expenditure relates to initiatives where the Council has applied, or is planning to apply, for a Consent to Borrow from the Scottish Government. The key area not included in paragraph 3.1 is borrowing to lend in respect of an affordable house building programme in partnership with the Scottish Futures Trust (Bridge Homes LLP). The estimated amounts are as follows:

Other Relevant Expenditure £m	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Bridge Homes LLP (Affordable house building programme)	3.0	12.0	3.8	-
Total	3.0	12.0	3.8	-

- b) The Council was an active participant in the development of funding mechanisms for affordable housing through a working group established by the Scottish Government. In order to standardise the approach to supporting Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) through on-lending arrangements

the Scottish Government has issued guidance around the parameters under which Consent to Borrow to lend to RSLs is given.

- c) Previously the Council had included up to £13m over the three years for the provision of loans to RSLs, however it is now anticipated that the changes in the commercial lending markets has led to RSL's being able to secure funding elsewhere and therefore the meeting of requirements of the Scottish Government guidance have become more challenging. This has resulted in the Council removing an allocation within Other Relevant Expenditure for this purpose. However, in the event that circumstances change a report will be brought to Council to request consideration of the changing of the Prudential Indicators to enable on-lending to proceed.

3.3 Capital Financing Assumptions

- a) The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a financing need.

Capital Expenditure £m	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Capital Expenditure	27.6	37.8	55.4	47.3	25.2
Other Relevant Expenditure	2.3	3.0	12.0	3.8	-
Total Expenditure	29.9	40.8	67.4	51.1	25.2
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	2.4	1.2	1.7	1.4	2.6
CFCR	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.8	-
Developer Contributions	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
Govt. General Capital Grants	2.2	9.7	15.2	12.0	12.0
Govt. Specific Capital Grants	10.2	14.2	25.5	15.1	0.2
Other Grants & Contributions	2.4	2.0	2.1	3.5	1.8
Plant & Vehicle Fund	0.4	2.0	-	-	-
Net financing need for the year	11.4	11.2	21.9	18.2	8.5

3.4 The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement – Prudential Indicator PI-2)

- a) The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure identified above, which has not immediately been paid for (e.g. via grants), will increase the CFR. The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as scheduled debt amortisation (loans pool charges) broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each asset's life.
- b) The CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. PPP schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council had £58.1m of liabilities relating to such schemes within the 2013/14 long term liabilities figure.

c) The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

Capital Financing Requirement (PI-2) £m	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Total CFR (PI-2) *	258.9	259.0	270.7	279.3	279.0
Movement in CFR represented by:					
Net financing need for the year (above)		11.2	21.9	18.2	8.5
Less scheduled debt amortisation and other financing movements		(11.1)	(10.2)	(9.6)	(8.8)
Movement in CFR		0.1	11.7	8.6	(0.3)

* The CFR for this calculation includes capital expenditure to 31 March of each financial year.

The significant increase between 2014/15 and 2015/16 is driven by the shift in the net financing need for the year as detailed in the table in section 3.3 a). The main drivers for is the Bridge Homes LLP funding requirement of £12m in 2015/16 as detailed in 3.2 a) and the increase in the capital expenditure plans for 2015/16 compared with 2014/15 as driven by the proposals in the Capital Financial Plan 2015/16 - 2024/25 as summarised in 3.1 a).

3.5 Affordability Prudential Indicators

a) Further prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The updated indicators are as follows:

Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream (Prudential Indicator PI-3)

b) This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs, net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

%	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream (PI-3) <i>(inc. PPP repayment costs)</i>	9.4	9.4	10.0	9.7	9.4

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in the Financial Plans for 2015/16.

Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on council tax (Prudential Indicator PI-4)

c) This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated the operational three year capital programme detailed in this budget report compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period

£	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate
Incremental (Saving)/Cost Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on the Band D Council Tax (PI-4)	0.00	0.00	(0.02)

4 Treasury Management Strategy

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 3 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional Codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury/prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

4.1 Current Portfolio Position

- a) The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2014, with forward projections, is summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt, against the Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

£m as at 31 March	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Borrowing	173.6	188.1	189.5	189.5
Other Long Term Liabilities	55.9	54.2	52.4	50.7
Total Gross Borrowing (Prudential Indicator PI-5)	229.5	242.3	241.9	240.2
CFR – the borrowing need *	279.3	279.0	283.1	283.1
(Under) / Over Borrowing (Prudential Indicator PI-6)	(49.8)	(36.7)	(41.2)	(42.9)

* The CFR for this calculation includes the current and two future years projected capital expenditure see 4.1 b)

- b) Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well defined limits. One of these (PI-6) is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt figure (shown above) does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2015/16 and following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing in advance of need is not undertaken for revenue purposes.
- c) The Council has complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and no difficulties are currently envisaged for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the Financial Plans for 2015/16.

4.2 Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity

The Operational Boundary (Prudential Indicator PI-7)

- a) This is the limit which external borrowing is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt.

Operational boundary £m	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Total Operational Boundary (PI-7a)	243.6	241.9	240.2
Less: Other long term liabilities	(54.2)	(52.4)	(50.7)

Operational Boundary exc. Other Long Term Liabilities (PI-7b)	189.4	189.5	189.5
--	--------------	--------------	--------------

The Authorised Limit for External Debt (Prudential Indicator PI-8)

- b) A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.
- c) This is the statutory limit (Affordable Capital Expenditure Limit) determined under section 35(1) of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
- d) The proposed Authorised Limit for the Council is as follows:

Authorised Limit £m	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Total Authorised Limit (PI-8a)	314.9	301.6	314.2
Less: Other long term liabilities	(54.2)	(52.4)	(50.7)
Authorised Limit exc. Other Long Term Liabilities (PI-8b)	260.7	249.2	263.5

4.3 Prospects for Interest Rates

- a) The Council has appointed Capita Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table and commentary below gives the central view of Capita Asset Services.

Annual Average %	Bank Rate %	PWLB Borrowing Rates % (including certainty rate adjustment)		
		5 year	25 year	50 year
Mar 2015	0.50	2.20	3.40	3.40
Jun 2015	0.50	2.20	3.50	3.50
Sep 2015	0.50	2.30	3.70	3.70
Dec 2015	0.75	2.50	3.80	3.80
Mar 2016	0.75	2.60	4.00	4.00
Jun 2016	1.00	2.80	4.20	4.20
Sep 2016	1.00	2.90	4.30	4.30
Dec 2016	1.25	3.00	4.40	4.40
Mar 2017	1.25	3.20	4.50	4.50
Jun 2017	1.50	3.30	4.60	4.60
Sep 2017	1.75	3.40	4.70	4.70
Dec 2017	1.75	3.50	4.70	4.70
Mar 2018	2.00	3.60	4.80	4.80

- b) UK GDP growth surged during 2013 and the first half of 2014. Since then it appears to have subsided somewhat but still remains strong by UK standards and is expected to continue likewise into 2015 and 2016. There needs to be a significant rebalancing of the economy away from consumer spending to manufacturing, business investment and exporting in order for this recovery to become more firmly established. One drag on the economy has been that wage inflation has only recently started to exceed CPI inflation, so enabling disposable income and living standards to start improving. The recent plunge in the price of oil brought CPI inflation down to a low of 1.0% in November, the lowest rate since September 2002.
- c) Inflation is expected to stay around or below 1.0% for the best part of a year; this will help improve consumer disposable income and so underpin economic growth during 2015. However, labour productivity needs to improve substantially to enable wage rates to increase and further support consumer disposable income and economic growth. In addition, the encouraging rate at which unemployment has been falling must eventually feed through into pressure for wage increases, though current views on the amount of hidden slack in the labour market probably means that this is unlikely to happen early in 2015.
- d) The US, the biggest world economy, has generated stunning growth rates of 4.6% (annualised) in Q2 2014 and 5.0% in Q3. This is hugely promising for the outlook for strong growth going forwards and it very much looks as if the US is now firmly on the path of full recovery from the financial crisis of 2008. Consequently, it is now confidently expected that the US will be the first major western economy to start on central rate increases by mid 2015.
- e) The current economic outlook and structure of market interest rates and government debt yields have several key treasury management implications:
- Greece: the general election on 25 January 2015 may bring a political party to power which is anti EU and anti austerity. However, the indirect effects of the likely strengthening of anti EU and anti austerity political parties throughout the EU is much more difficult to quantify;

- As for the Eurozone in general, concerns in respect of a major crisis subsided considerably in 2013. However, the downturn in growth and inflation during the second half of 2014, and worries over the Ukraine situation, Middle East and Ebola, have led to a resurgence of those concerns as risks increase that it could be heading into deflation and prolonged very weak growth. Sovereign debt difficulties have not gone away and major concerns could return in respect of individual countries that do not dynamically address fundamental issues of low growth, international uncompetitiveness and the need for overdue reforms of the economy (as Ireland has done). It is, therefore, possible over the next few years that levels of government debt to GDP ratios could continue to rise to levels that could result in a loss of investor confidence in the financial viability of such countries. Counterparty risks therefore remain elevated. This continues to suggest the use of higher quality counterparties for shorter time periods;
- Investment returns are likely to remain relatively low during 2015/16 and beyond;
- Borrowing interest rates have been volatile during 2014 as alternating bouts of good and bad news have promoted optimism, and then pessimism, in financial markets. The closing weeks of 2014 saw gilt yields dip to historically remarkably low levels after inflation plunged, a flight to quality from equities (especially in the oil sector), and from the debt and equities of oil producing emerging market countries, and an increase in the likelihood that the ECB will commence quantitative easing (purchase of EZ government debt) in early 2015. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in later times, when authorities will not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance new capital expenditure and/or to refinance maturing debt;
- There will remain a cost of carry to any new borrowing which causes an increase in investments as this will incur a revenue loss between borrowing costs and investment returns.

f) **Annex C** contains a more comprehensive Economic Background narrative from Capita Asset Services.

4.4 Borrowing Strategy

- a) The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded by external loan debt as the cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy remains both prudent and cost effective as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is relatively high.
- b) Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2015/16 treasury operations. The Chief Financial Officer will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:
- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in long and short term rates, (e.g. due to a marked increase of risks around relapse into recession or of risks of deflation), then long term borrowings will be postponed, and potential rescheduling from fixed rate funding into short term borrowing will be considered.*
 - *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in long and short term rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from a faster than currently anticipated unwinding of quantitative easing in the US, or an unexpected increase in world economic activity or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised with the likely action that fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are still lower than they will be in the next few years.*
- c) Any decisions will be reported to Members at the next available opportunity.

Treasury Management Limits on Activity

- d) There are three debt related treasury activity limits. The purpose of these are to restrain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of any adverse movement in interest rates. However, if these are set to be too restrictive, they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs / improve performance. The indicators are:
- (i) **Upper limits on fixed interest rate exposure (Treasury Indicator TI-1)**
- This covers a maximum limit for borrowing exposure to fixed interest rates, based on the debt position net of investments.
- (ii) **Upper limits on variable interest rate exposure (Treasury Indicator TI-2)**
- This identifies a maximum limit for borrowing exposure to variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments.
- (iii) **Maturity structure of borrowing (Treasury Indicator TI-3)**
- These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

(iv) The following table highlights the proposed treasury indicators and limits:

£m	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Interest rate exposures			
	Upper	Upper	Upper
Limits on fixed interest rates based on net debt (TI-1)	243.6	241.9	240.2
Limits on variable interest rates based on net debt (TI-2)	85.3	84.7	84.1
Maturity Structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2015/16 (TI-3)			
	Lower	Upper	
Under 12 months	0%	20%	
12 months to 2 years	0%	20%	
2 years to 5 years	0%	20%	
5 years to 10 years	0%	20%	
10 years and above	20%	100%	

4.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

- a) The Council will not borrow in advance of its needs, purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed.
- b) Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.
- c) Borrowing in advance is defined as any borrowing undertaken by the local authority which will result in the total external debt of the local authority exceeding the capital financing requirement (CFR) of the local authority for the following twelve month period. This twelve month period is on a rolling twelve month basis.
- d) The Chief Financial Officer has the authority to borrow in advance of need under delegated power where, for instance, a sharp rise in interest rates is expected, and so borrowing early at fixed interest rates will be economically beneficial or meet budgetary constraints. The Chief Financial Officer will adopt a cautious approach to any such borrowing and a business case to support the decision making process must consider:
 - the benefits of borrowing in advance,
 - the risks created by additional levels of borrowing and investment, and
 - how far in advance it is reasonable to borrow considering the risks identified
- e) Any such advance borrowing should be reported through the mid-year or annual Treasury Management reporting mechanism.

4.6 Debt Rescheduling

- a) As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).
- b) The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:
 - the generation of cash savings and/or discounted cash flow savings
 - helping to fulfil the treasury strategy
 - enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).
- c) Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.
- d) All rescheduling will be reported to the **Executive** at the earliest meeting following its action.

4.7 Treasury Management Earmarked Balance

- a) The Council identified, in conjunction with its advisors, that the increasing expectation of interest rate increases in the medium term exposed the Council to financing risk and that it was appropriate to identify approaches to manage this risk.
- b) The Council approved the establishment of a Treasury Management Earmarked Balance (the Balance) within the General Fund Reserve for the purposes of managing its costs of treasury and financing activities and the associated financing risk.
- c) The Balance creates an appropriate tactical mechanism to make financial provision in the current low interest rate environment to support the Council as interest rates increase and the financing need crystallises. This Balance will provide resource to smooth out potentially higher costs in the future, by having resources which can be used to mitigate costs in the Council's revenue budget. [the wording of the report on the earmarked balance is quite specific it is carefully worded to ensure this balance can be used flexibly if needs be to support the "finances of the council- it is not therefore just about interest rates although this is the primary purpose
- d) The Balance will be funded through the identification of opportunities to earmark funds due to short term savings on the Loans Charges revenue budget resulting from the current prudent approach to capital financing.

5 Investment Strategy

5.1 Investment Objectives and Policy

- a) The Council's investment policy has regard to the Scottish Government's Investment (Scotland) Regulations (and accompanying Finance Circular) and the latest CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the Code").
- b) The Council's primary investment objectives are:
 - (i) The safeguarding or **security** of the re-payment of principal and interest of investments on a timely basis; and
 - (ii) The **liquidity** of its investments
- c) The Council will also aim to achieve the optimum return on its investments corresponding with proper levels of security and liquidity. The risk appetite of this Council is low in order to give priority to security of its investments.
- d) In accordance with the above guidance from the Scottish Government and CIPFA, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Council has below (see 5.3 below) clearly stipulated the minimum acceptable credit criteria in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.
- e) The aim of the creditworthiness policy is to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which will also enable diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The intention of the approach is to provide security of investment and minimisation of risk.
- f) The borrowing of monies purely to invest or on-lend, without relevant Scottish Government consent, is unlawful and this Council will not engage in such activity.
- g) The Council will ensure its investments have sufficient liquidity. For this purpose it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods over which funds may prudently be committed.

5.2 Council Permitted Investments

- a) The Local Government Investments (Scotland) Regulations 2010 require the Council to give approval for all the types of investments to be used and set appropriate limits for the amount that can be held in each investment type. These types of investments are termed **Permitted Investments** and any investments used which have not been approved as a permitted investment will be considered ultra vires.
- b) The permitted investment instruments which may be used by the Council (and its subsidiary organisations) in the forthcoming year are detailed in **Annex D**, and include the following:

Cash type instruments

- Deposits with the Debt Management Account Facility (DMADF) (UK Government)
- Deposits with other local authorities or public bodies
- Money Market Funds
- Call account deposit accounts with financial institutions (banks and building societies) meeting the Creditworthiness Policy
- Term deposits with financial institutions (banks and building societies) meeting the Creditworthiness Policy
- UK Government Gilts and Treasury Bills

Other investments

- Investment properties
 - Loans to third parties, including soft loans
 - National Housing Trust (NHT)
 - Investments in and loans to local authority companies/partnerships
 - Pooled Investment Vehicles
 - Investment in the subordinated debt of projects delivered via the 'HubCo' model
- c) Details of the risks, mitigating controls and limits associated with each of these permitted categories are shown in **Annex D**.
- d) Common Good and Pension Fund permitted investments are also shown at **Annex D** and, where applicable, the same counterparty selection criteria as for the Council will be applied. [subsidiaries?]
- e) The Treasury Management Strategy only applies to the funds managed in-house for the Pension Fund, as the externally invested funds are covered by the Pension Fund's Statement of Investment Principles and other associated policy documents.

1.2 Creditworthiness Policy

- a) This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties (**Annex E**) are supplemented with the following overlays:
- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies
 - Credit Default Swaps (CDS) spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings
 - sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries
- b) Continuing regulatory changes in the banking sector leading to the withdrawal of implied sovereign support is anticipated to have an effect on ratings applied to institutions. Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings previously applied will effectively become redundant in relation to the Creditworthiness Policy. (**Annex E** provides additional information)
- c) This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

Creditworthiness Colour Banding	Maximum Investment Duration
Yellow	5 years
Dark pink	5 years for Enhanced Money Market Funds (EMMFs) with a credit score of 1.25
Light pink	5 years EMMFs with a credit score of 1.5
Purple	2 years
Blue	1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi-nationalised UK Banks)
Orange	1 year
Red	6 months
Green	100 days
No colour	not to be used (ie don't invest)

Y	Pi1	Pi2	P	B	O	R	G	N/C
1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7
Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 2yrs	Up to 1yr	Up to 1yr	Up to 6mths	Up to 100days	No Colour

- d) The creditworthiness service provided by Capita uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue weight to just one agency's ratings.
- e) Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

- f) Using the Capita Asset Services creditworthiness service, potential counterparty ratings are monitored on a real time basis with knowledge of any changes notified electronically as the agencies notify modifications.
- g) There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but the counterparty may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.
- h) Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on government support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government

5.4 Country, Group and Sector Considerations

- a) Due care will be taken to consider the country, group and sector exposure of the Council's investments.

Country Limits

- b) If the institution is non-UK, then the country in which it is domiciled must have a minimum Sovereign long term rating of AAA.
- c) No more than **10%** will be placed with any non-UK country at any time.

Institutional Sector Limits

- d) These institutions must either be UK Local Authorities or UK Incorporated Institutions, UK Banks and Building Societies incorporated in the European Economic Area entitled to accept deposits through a branch in the UK. The Council may also use the UK Government including in the form of gilts and the Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (DMADF).
- e) Limits will be applied to the overall amount lent out to any one sector at any one time in order to limit sector specific exposure risk, as follows:

UK Building Societies	£25 m
Banks	£35 m
UK Local Authorities	£40 m
UK Government Debt Management Office	£unlimited
UK Gilts and Treasury Bills	£20 m
Institutions covered by Government Guarantee	£10 m
Part Nationalised Banks	£35 m
Money Market Funds (AAA)	£20 m

These limits will be monitored regularly for appropriateness.

Group Limits

- g) Limits will be applied to the overall amount lent out to institutions within the same group at any one time in order to limit group specific exposure risk, as follows, and subject to the parent company appearing on Capita Asset Services' creditworthiness list:

Group of Banks	£10m
-----------------------	-------------

Council's Own Banker

- h) The Council's own banker (Bank of Scotland – part of Lloyds) will be maintained on the Council's counterparty list in situations where rating changes may mean this is below the above criteria. This is to allow the Council to continue to operate normal current account banking facilities and overnight and short-term investment facilities. However, in the event that the rating does change below the criteria, officers will review the situation carefully and identify any appropriate action required to manage the risk that this change creates for the Council.

5.5 Individual Institution Monetary Limits

- a) The monetary limits for institutions on the Council's Counterparty List are as follows:

	Money Limit
UK Building Societies	£5m
Banks	£5m
UK Local Authorities (i)	£40m
UK Government Debt Management Office	Unlimited
UK Gilts & Treasury Bills	£20m
Government Guaranteed Institutions	£2m
AAA rated Money Market Funds	£5m
Council's Own Banker (ii)	£5m

- (i) No individual limit will be applied on lending to a UK local authority, other than it must not exceed the relevant sector limit of £40m.
- (ii) Further to Sections 5.4 and 5.5, in the event that the rating of the Council's own banker falls below the criteria, the time limit on money deposited with the bank will be reduced to an overnight basis.
- b) As mentioned earlier, the treasury function manages the funds of the Council, any subsidiary organisations, the Pension Fund and the Common Good and Trust Funds. When applying the limits set out in the table above, these limits will apply to the cumulative investment with an institution from the Council, the Pension Fund and the Common Good Funds and Trust Funds.

5.6 The Monitoring of Investment Counterparties

- a) All credit ratings will be monitored on a weekly basis. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the creditworthiness service of Capita Asset Services.
- If a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
 - In addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

- b)** If the Council has funds invested in an institution which is downgraded to below the acceptable rating criteria, the Council will enter discussions with the counterparty to establish if the funds can be returned early. This however this will be subject to an appropriate cost versus risk assessment of the specific situation.
- c)** The criteria for choosing counterparties set out above provide a sound approach to investment in “normal” market circumstances. Under exceptional market conditions, the Chief Financial Officer may temporarily restrict further investment activity to those counterparties considered of higher credit quality than the minimum criteria set out in this Strategy. These restrictions will remain in place until the Chief Financial Officer is of an opinion that the banking system has returned to ‘normal’. Similarly a restriction may be placed on the duration of investments.

5.7 Types of Investments

- a)** For institutions on the approved counterparty list, investments will be restricted to safer instruments (such as deposits). Currently this involves the use of money market funds, the DMADF and institutions with higher credit ratings than the minimum permissible rating outlined in the investment strategy, as well as the Council’s own bank.
- b)** Where appropriate, investments will be made through approved brokers. The current list of approved brokers comprises:
- ICAP Securities Limited
 - Sterling International Brokers Limited
 - Tradition (UK) Limited

5.8 Investment Strategy and bank rate projections

In-house funds

- a)** Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

Bank Rate

- b)** Bank Rate is forecast to remain unchanged at 0.5% before starting to rise from quarter 4 of 2015. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year-ends (March) as at January 2015 are:

2014/2015	0.50%
2015/2016	0.75%
2016/2017	1.25%
2017/2018	2.00%

- c)** There are downside risks to these forecasts (i.e. start of increases in Bank Rate occurs later) if economic growth weakens. However, should the pace of growth quicken, there could be an upside risk.

Investment Treasury Indicator And Limit (Treasury Indicator TI-5) Total Principal Funds Invested for greater than 364 days

- d) These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.
- e) The treasury indicator and limit proposed is:

Maximum principal sums invested > 364 days (TI-5)			
£m	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Principal sums invested > 364 days	20%	20%	20%

- f) For positive cash balances and in order to maintain liquidity, the Council will seek to use overnight investment accounts, short term (< 1 month) notice accounts, money market funds and short-dated deposits (overnight to three months).

5.9 Risk Benchmarking

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmarks are that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the mid-year or annual report.

a) Security

The Council's **maximum** security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to historic default tables, is:

0.04% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.

b) Liquidity

In respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:

- Bank Overdraft: £250,000
- Liquid short term deposits of at least £3,000,000 available with a week's notice.
- Weighted Average Life benchmark is **expected to be 0.5 years** (equivalent to an weighted average life of 6 months), with a **maximum of 1.00 years**

c) Yield

Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

Investments – **Internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate**

- d) At the end of the financial year, the Chief Financial Officer will report on its investment activity as part of the annual treasury report.

6 Performance Indicators

6.1 The CIPFA Code requires the Council to set performance indicators to assess the adequacy of the treasury function over the year. These are distinct historic indicators, as opposed to the prudential indicators, which are predominantly forward looking.

6.2 Debt Performance Indicators

- (i) Average “Pool Rate” charged by the Loans Fund compared to Scottish Local Authority average Pool Rate.

Target is to be at or below the Scottish Average for 2014/15.

- (ii) Average borrowing rate movement year on year

Target is to maintain or reduce the average borrowing rate for the Council versus 2014/15.

6.3 Investment Risk Benchmark Indicators for Security, Liquidity and Yield, as set out in paragraph 5.9.

6.4 Loan Charges

- a) Loan Charges for 2015/16 are expected to be at or below the Revenue Budget estimate contained in the Council’s Financial Plans to be approved in February 2015, which are estimated as follows:

£m	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Interest on Borrowing	12.0	12.0	12.0
Investment income	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Capital Repayments	8.8	8.8	8.0
Total Loan Charges *	20.7	20.7	19.9

**The Loan Charges exclude the capital element of PPP repayments.*

- b) The above budget excludes the revenue impact of funding the cost of the NHT and the lending to RSLs and lending in respect of the Council-led house building programme with the Scottish Futures Trust, as these are assumed to be revenue neutral overall.

6.5 The indicators, based on actual performance for the year, will be included in the Treasury Management Annual Report for 2015/16.

7 Monitoring and Reporting

7.1 In line with the CIPFA Code the following formal reporting arrangements will be adopted:

Requirement	Purpose	Decision making body	Frequency
Treasury Management Policy Statement	Reviews and Revisions	Executive	As required
Treasury Management & Investment Strategy	Reporting of Annual Strategy	Council	Annually prior to start of new financial year
Treasury Management Strategy and / or Treasury Investment Strategy	Updates and revisions	Council	As appropriate
Treasury Management Mid-Year Report	Mid-Year Performance Report	Council	Annually in October/November of the current year
Treasury Management Annual Report	Annual Performance report for previous financial year	Council	Annually following the revenue outturn report to Executive
Treasury Management Monitoring Reports	Including Revenue Budget Monitoring	Executive	Revenue reported as part of the regular monitoring reports, otherwise as and when appropriate
Treasury Management Practices		Executive	As appropriate
Scrutiny of Treasury Management & Investment Strategy	Detailed scrutiny prior to annual approval by Council	Audit & Risk Committee	Annually
Scrutiny of Treasury Management Performance		Audit & Risk Committee	As appropriate

8 Treasury Management Consultants and Advisers

- 8.1** The Council uses Capita Asset Services as its external treasury management consultants. The company provides a range of services which include:
- Technical support on treasury matters, capital financing issues and the drafting of Member reports
 - Economic and interest rate analysis
 - Debt services which includes advice on the timing of borrowing
 - Debt rescheduling advice surrounding the existing portfolio
 - Generic investment advice on interest rates, timing and investment instruments
 - Credit ratings/market information service
- 8.2** As part of the service provided, Capita meet with Council officers periodically to review the current Treasury Management and Investment Strategies and also review the service provided to the Council.
- 8.3** The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times and will ensure that it does not only rely upon information and advice from our external service providers.
- 8.4** The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

9 Member and Officer Training

- 9.1** The increased Member consideration of treasury management matters and the need to ensure that officers dealing with treasury management are trained and kept up to date requires a suitable training process for Members and officers. This Council will address this important issue by:
- a) Elected Members**
- Working with members of the Audit Committee to identify their training needs
 - Working with Capita Asset Services to identify appropriate training provision for elected members
- b) Officers** dealing with treasury management matters will have the option of various levels of training including:
- Treasury courses run by the Council's advisers
 - Attendance at CIPFA treasury management training events
 - Attendance at the CIPFA Scottish Treasury Management Forum and information exchanged via the Treasury Management Forum network
 - On the job training in line with the approved Treasury Management Practices (TMPs).

ANNEXES

ANNEX A SUMMARY OF PRUDENTIAL AND TREASURY INDICATORS

Indicator Reference	Indicator	Page Ref.	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS					
Capital Expenditure Indicator					
PI-1	Capital Expenditure Limits	5	£55.4m	£47.3m	£25.2m
PI-2	Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	7	£270.7m	£279.3m	£279.0m
Affordability Indicator					
PI-3	Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue (inc. PPP repayment costs)	7	10.0%	9.7%	9.4%
PI-4	Incremental (Saving)/Cost Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on Council Tax	7	£0.00	£0.00	(£0.02)
External Debt Indicators					
PI-5	Actual Debt	8	£242.3m	£241.9m	£240.2m
PI-7a	Operational Boundary (inc. Other Long Term Liabilities)	9	£243.6m	£241.9m	£240.2m
PI-7b	Operational Boundary (exc. Other Long Term Liabilities)	9	£189.4m	£189.4m	£189.4m
PI-8a	Authorised Limit (inc. Other Long Term Liabilities)	9	£314.9m	£301.6m	£314.2m
PI-8b	Authorised Limit (exc. Other Long Term Liabilities)	9	£260.7m	£249.2m	£263.5m
Indicators of Prudence					
PI-6	(Under)/Over Gross Borrowing against the CFR	8	£(36.7)m	£(41.2)m	£(42.9)m
TREASURY INDICATORS					
TI-1	Upper Limit to Fixed Interest Rates based on Net Debt	13	£243.6m	£241.9m	£240.2m
TI-2	Upper Limit to Variable Interest Rates based on Net Debt	13	£85.3m	£84.7m	£84.1m
TI-3	Maturity Structure of Fixed Interest Rate Borrowing 2012/13	13	Lower		Upper
	Under 12 months		0%		20%
	12 months to 2 years		0%		20%
	2 years to 5 years		0%		20%
	5 years to 10 years		0%		20%
	10 years and above		20%		100%
TI-4	Maximum Principal Sum invested greater than 364 days	20	20%	20%	20%

ANNEX B: INTEREST RATE FORECASTS 2014-18

Capita Asset Services Interest Rate View													
	Mar-15	Jun-15	Sep-15	Dec-15	Mar-16	Jun-16	Sep-16	Dec-16	Mar-17	Jun-17	Sep-17	Dec-17	Mar-18
Bank Rate View	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.50%	0.50%	0.60%	0.80%	0.90%	1.10%	1.10%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.80%	1.90%	2.10%
6 Month LIBID	0.70%	0.70%	0.80%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.30%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	2.00%	2.10%	2.30%
12 Month LIBID	0.90%	1.00%	1.10%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.30%	2.40%	2.60%
5yr PWLB Rate	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.50%	2.60%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	3.50%	3.60%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.80%	2.80%	3.00%	3.20%	3.30%	3.50%	3.60%	3.70%	3.80%	3.90%	4.00%	4.10%	4.20%
25yr PWLB Rate	3.40%	3.50%	3.70%	3.80%	4.00%	4.20%	4.30%	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.70%	4.80%
50yr PWLB Rate	3.40%	3.50%	3.70%	3.80%	4.00%	4.20%	4.30%	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.70%	4.80%
Bank Rate													
Capita Asset Services	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
Capital Economics	0.50%	0.50%	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	-	-	-	-	-
5yr PWLB Rate													
Capita Asset Services	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.50%	2.60%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	3.50%	3.60%
Capital Economics	2.20%	2.50%	2.70%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	-	-	-	-	-
10yr PWLB Rate													
Capita Asset Services	2.80%	2.80%	3.00%	3.20%	3.30%	3.50%	3.60%	3.70%	3.80%	3.90%	4.00%	4.10%	4.20%
Capital Economics	2.80%	3.05%	3.30%	3.55%	3.60%	3.65%	3.70%	3.80%	-	-	-	-	-
25yr PWLB Rate													
Capita Asset Services	3.40%	3.50%	3.70%	3.80%	4.00%	4.20%	4.30%	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.70%	4.80%
Capital Economics	3.25%	3.45%	3.65%	3.85%	3.95%	4.05%	4.15%	4.25%	-	-	-	-	-
50yr PWLB Rate													
Capita Asset Services	3.40%	3.50%	3.70%	3.80%	4.00%	4.20%	4.30%	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.70%	4.80%
Capital Economics	3.30%	3.50%	3.70%	3.90%	4.00%	4.10%	4.20%	4.30%	-	-	-	-	-

Please note – The current PWLB rates and forecast shown above have taken into account the 20 basis point certainty rate reduction effective as of the 1st November 2012

Source: Capita Asset Services, January 2015

ANNEX C

Economic Background

UK

After strong UK GDP growth in 2013 at an annual rate of 2.7%, and then in 2014 0.7% in Q1, 0.9% in Q2 2014 (annual rate 3.2% in Q2), Q3 has seen growth fall back to 0.7% in the quarter and to an annual rate of 2.6%. It therefore appears that growth has eased since the surge in the first half of 2014 leading to a downward revision of forecasts for 2015 and 2016, albeit that growth will still remain strong by UK standards. For this recovery to become more balanced and sustainable in the longer term, the recovery needs to move away from dependence on consumer expenditure and the housing market to exporting, and particularly of manufactured goods, both of which need to substantially improve on their recent lacklustre performance. This overall strong growth has resulted in unemployment falling much faster than expected. The MPC is now focusing on how quickly slack in the economy is being used up. It is also particularly concerned that the squeeze on the disposable incomes of consumers should be reversed by wage inflation rising back significantly above the level of inflation in order to ensure that the recovery will be sustainable. There also needs to be a major improvement in labour productivity, which has languished at dismal levels since 2008, to support increases in pay rates. Unemployment is expected to keep on its downward trend and this is likely to eventually feed through into a return to significant increases in wage growth at some point during the next three years. However, just how much those future increases in pay rates will counteract the depressive effect of increases in Bank Rate on consumer confidence, the rate of growth in consumer expenditure and the buoyancy of the housing market, are areas that will need to be kept under regular review.

Also encouraging has been the sharp fall in inflation (CPI), reaching 1.0% in November 2014, the lowest rate since September 2002. Forward indications are that inflation is likely to remain around or under 1% for the best part of a year. The return to strong growth has helped lower forecasts for the increase in Government debt over the last year but monthly public sector deficit figures during 2014 have disappointed until November. The autumn statement, therefore, had to revise the speed with which the deficit is forecast to be eliminated.

Eurozone

The Eurozone is facing an increasing threat from weak or negative growth and from deflation. In November 2014, the inflation rate fell further, to reach a low of 0.3%. However, this is an average for all EZ countries and includes some countries with negative rates of inflation. Accordingly, the ECB took some rather limited action in June and September 2014 to loosen monetary policy in order to promote growth. It now appears likely that the ECB will embark on full quantitative easing (purchase of EZ country sovereign debt) in early 2015.

Concern in financial markets for the Eurozone subsided considerably after the prolonged crisis during 2011-2013. However, sovereign debt difficulties have not gone away and major issues could return in respect of any countries that do not dynamically address fundamental issues of low growth, international uncompetitiveness and the need for overdue reforms of the economy, (as Ireland has done). It is, therefore, possible over the next few years that levels of government debt to GDP ratios could continue to rise for some countries. This could mean that sovereign debt concerns have not disappeared but, rather, have only been postponed. The ECB's pledge in 2012 to buy unlimited amounts of bonds of countries which ask for a bailout has provided heavily indebted countries with a strong defence against market forces. This has bought them time to make progress with their economies to return to growth or to reduce the degree of recession. However, debt to GDP ratios (2013 figures) of Greece 180%, Italy 133%, Portugal 129%, Ireland 124% and Cyprus 112%, remain a cause of concern, especially as some of these countries are experiencing continuing rates of increase in debt in excess of their rate of economic growth i.e. these debt ratios are likely to continue to deteriorate. Any sharp downturn in economic growth would make these countries particularly vulnerable to a new bout of

sovereign debt crisis. It should also be noted that Italy has the third biggest debt mountain in the world behind Japan and the US.

Greece

The general election due to take place on 25 January 2015 is likely to bring a political party to power which is anti EU and anti austerity. However, if this eventually results in Greece leaving the Euro, it is unlikely that this will directly destabilise the Eurozone as the EU has put in place adequate firewalls to contain the immediate fallout to just Greece. However, the indirect effects of the likely strengthening of anti EU and anti austerity political parties throughout the EU is much more difficult to quantify. There are particular concerns as to whether democratically elected governments will lose the support of electorates suffering under EZ imposed austerity programmes, especially in countries which have high unemployment rates. There are also major concerns as to whether the governments of France and Italy will effectively implement austerity programmes and undertake overdue reforms to improve national competitiveness. These countries already have political parties with major electoral support for anti EU and anti austerity policies. Any loss of market confidence in either of the two largest Eurozone economies after Germany would present a huge challenge to the resources of the ECB to defend their debt.

USA

The U.S. Federal Reserve ended its monthly asset purchases in October 2014. GDP growth rates (annualised) for Q2 and Q3 of 4.6% and 5.0% have been stunning and hold great promise for strong growth going forward. It is therefore confidently forecast that the first increase in the Fed. rate will occur by the middle of 2015.

China

Government action in 2014 to stimulate the economy appeared to be putting the target of 7.5% growth within achievable reach but recent data has indicated a marginally lower outturn for 2014, which would be the lowest rate of growth for many years. There are also concerns that the Chinese leadership has only started to address an unbalanced economy which is heavily over dependent on new investment expenditure, and for a potential bubble in the property sector to burst, as it did in Japan in the 1990s, with its consequent impact on the financial health of the banking sector. There are also concerns around the potential size, and dubious creditworthiness, of some bank lending to local government organisations and major corporates. This primarily occurred during the government promoted expansion of credit, which was aimed at protecting the overall rate of growth in the economy after the Lehmans crisis.

Japan

Japan is causing considerable concern as the increase in sales tax in April 2014 has suppressed consumer expenditure and growth to the extent that it has slipped back into recession in Q2 and Q3. The Japanese government already has the highest debt to GDP ratio in the world.

CAPITA ASSET SERVICES FORWARD VIEW

Economic forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. Our Bank Rate forecasts, (and also MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data transpires over 2015. Forecasts for average earnings beyond the three year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments. Major volatility in bond yields is likely to endure as investor fears and confidence ebb and flow between favouring more risky assets i.e. equities, or the safe haven of bonds.

The overall longer run trend is for gilt yields and PWLB rates to rise, due to the high volume of gilt issuance in the UK, and of bond issuance in other major western countries. Increasing investor confidence in eventual world economic recovery is also likely to compound this effect as recovery will encourage investors to switch from bonds to equities.

The overall balance of risks to economic recovery in the UK is currently evenly balanced. Only time will tell just how long this current period of strong economic growth will last; it also remains exposed to vulnerabilities in a number of key areas.

The interest rate forecasts in this report are based on an initial assumption that there will not be a major resurgence of the EZ debt crisis. There is an increased risk that Greece could end up leaving the Euro but if this happens, the EZ now has sufficient fire walls in place that a Greek exit would have little immediate direct impact on the rest of the EZ and the Euro. It is therefore expected that there will be an overall managed, albeit painful and tortuous, resolution of any EZ debt crisis that may occur where EZ institutions and governments eventually do what is necessary - but only when all else has been tried and failed. Under this assumed scenario, growth within the EZ will be weak at best for the next couple of years with some EZ countries experiencing low or negative growth, which will, over that time period, see an increase in total government debt to GDP ratios. There is a significant danger that these ratios could rise to the point where markets lose confidence in the financial viability of one, or more, countries, especially if growth disappoints and / or efforts to reduce government deficits fail to deliver the necessary reductions. However, it is impossible to forecast whether any individual country will lose such confidence, or when, and so precipitate a sharp resurgence of the EZ debt crisis. While the ECB has adequate resources to manage a debt crisis in a small EZ country, if one, or more, of the larger countries were to experience a major crisis of market confidence, this would present a serious challenge to the ECB and to EZ politicians.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- Geopolitical risks in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Asia, increasing safe haven flows.
- UK strong economic growth is weaker than we currently anticipate.
- Weak growth or recession in the UK's main trading partners - the EU, US and China.
- A resurgence of the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis.
- Recapitalisation of European banks requiring more government financial support.
- Monetary policy action failing to stimulate sustainable growth and to combat the threat of deflation in western economies, especially the Eurozone and Japan.

The potential for upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates, especially for longer term PWLB rates include:

- An adverse reaction by financial markets to the result of the UK general election in May 2015 and the economic and debt management policies adopted by the new government.
- ECB either failing to carry through on recent statements that it will soon start quantitative easing (purchase of government debt) or severely disappointing financial markets with embarking on only a token programme of minimal purchases which are unlikely to have much impact, if any, on stimulating growth in the EZ.
- The commencement by the US Federal Reserve of increases in the central rate in 2015 causing a fundamental reassessment by investors of the relative risks of holding bonds as opposed to equities, leading to a sudden flight from bonds to equities.
- A surge in investor confidence that a return to robust world economic growth is imminent, causing a flow of funds out of bonds into equities.
- UK inflation returning to significantly higher levels than in the wider EU and US, causing an increase in the inflation premium inherent to gilt yields.

Source: Capita Asset Services, January 2015

Annex D

Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

Permitted Investments, Associated Controls and Limits for Scottish Borders Council, Common Good and Trust Funds and In-house Managed Pension Fund

Type of Investment	Treasury Risks	Mitigating Controls	Council Limits	Common Good & Trust Fund Limits	Pension Fund In-House Limits
Cash type instruments					
a. Deposits with the Debt Management Account Facility (UK Government) (Very low risk)	This is a deposit with the UK Government and, as such, counterparty and liquidity risk is very low, and there is no risk to value. Deposits can be between overnight and 6 months.	Little mitigating controls required. As this is a UK Government investment, the monetary limit is unlimited to allow for a safe haven for investments.	£unlimited, maximum 6 months.	£unlimited, maximum 6 months.	£unlimited, maximum 6 months.
b. Deposits with other local authorities or public bodies (Very low risk)	These are considered quasi UK Government debt and, as such counterparty risk is very low, and there is no risk to value. Liquidity may present a problem as deposits can only be broken with the agreement of the counterparty, and penalties can apply. Deposits with other non-local authority bodies will be restricted to the overall credit rating criteria.	Little mitigating controls required for local authority deposits, as this is a quasi UK Government investment. Non-local authority deposits will follow the approved credit rating criteria.	£40m, maximum 1 year.	£5m, maximum 1 year.	£40m, maximum 1 year.
c. Money Market Funds (MMFs) (Very low risk)	Pooled cash investment vehicle which provides very low counterparty, liquidity and market risk. These will primarily be used as liquidity instruments.	Funds will only be used where the MMFs are Constant Net Asset Value (CNAV), and the fund has a "AAA" rated status from either Fitch, Moody's or Standard & Pools.	£5m per fund/£20m overall	£5m per fund/£20m overall	£5m per fund/£20m overall

Type of Investment	Treasury Risks	Mitigating Controls	Council Limits	Common Good & Trust Fund Limits	Pension Fund In-House Limits
<p>d. Call account deposit accounts with financial institutions (banks and building societies)</p> <p>(Low risk depending on credit rating)</p>	<p>These tend to be low risk investments, but will exhibit higher risks than categories (a), (b) and (c) above. Whilst there is no risk to value with these types of investments, liquidity is high and investments can be returned at short notice.</p>	<p>The counterparty selection criteria approved above restricts lending only to high quality counterparties, measured primarily by credit ratings from Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The selection defaults to the lowest available colour band / credit rating to provide additional risk control measures.</p> <p>Day to day investment dealing with this criteria will be further strengthened by use of additional market intelligence.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>
<p>e. Term deposits with financial institutions (banks and building societies)</p> <p>(Low to medium risk depending on period & credit rating)</p>	<p>These tend to be low risk investments, but will exhibit higher risks than categories (a), (b) and (c) above. Whilst there is no risk to value with these types of investments, liquidity is low and term deposits can only be broken with the agreement of the counterparty, and penalties may apply.</p>	<p>The counterparty selection criteria approved above restricts lending only to high quality counterparties, measured primarily by credit ratings from Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poores. The selection defaults to the lowest available credit rating to provide additional risk control measures.</p> <p>Day to day investment dealing with this criteria will be further strengthened by the use of additional market intelligence.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>	<p>As shown in the counterparty section criteria above.</p>

Type of Investment	Treasury Risks	Mitigating Controls	Council Limits	Common Good & Trust Fund Limits	Pension Fund In-House Limits
<p>f. UK Government Gilts and Treasury Bills</p> <p>(Very low risk)</p>	<p>These are marketable securities issued by the UK Government and, as such, counterparty and liquidity risk is very low, although there is potential risk to value arising from an adverse movement in interest rates (no loss if these are held to maturity).</p>	<p>Little counterparty mitigating controls are required, as this is a UK Government investment. The potential for capital loss will be reduced by limiting the maximum monetary and time exposures.</p>	<p>£20m, maximum 1 year.</p>	<p>£5m, maximum 1 year</p>	<p>£20m, maximum 1 year.</p>

Type of Investment	Treasury Risks	Mitigating Controls	Council Limits	Common Good & Trust Fund Limits	Pension Fund In-House Limits
Other types of investments					
g. Investment properties (Medium Risk)	These are non-service properties which are being held pending disposal or for a longer-term rental income stream. These are highly illiquid assets with high risk to value (the potential for property prices to fall or for rental voids).	In larger investment portfolios, some small allocation of property based investment may counterbalance/compliment the wider cash portfolio. Property holding will be revalued regularly and reported annually with gross and net rental streams.	£30m	£25m	N/A
h. Loans to third parties, including soft loans (Low to Medium Risk depending on Credit Risk)	These are service investments either at market rates of interest or below market rates (soft loans). These types of investments may exhibit credit risk and are likely to be highly illiquid.	Each third party loan requires Member approval and each application is supported by the service rationale behind the loan and the likelihood of partial or full default.	£25m	£1m	N/A
i. National Housing Trust (Very Low Risk due to Scottish Government Underwriting)	These are loans to a Special Purpose Vehicle to allow it to purchase new homes under the NHT umbrella. These loans represent either 65% or 70% of the purchase price, the remainder being funded by the developer. The loan is redeemed after a 5 to 10 year period when the properties are sold.	Loan redemption arises when the homes are sold. Interest payments are made to the Council by the SPV from rental payments in the intervening period. Both the loan amount and associated interest payments are underwritten by Scottish Government.	£8m	N/A	N/A

Type of Investment	Treasury Risks	Mitigating Controls	Council Limits	Common Good & Trust Fund Limits	Pension Fund In-House Limits
<p>j. Loans to a local authority company or partnership</p> <p>(Low Risk)</p>	<p>These are service investments either at market rates of interest or below market rates (soft loans). These types of investments may exhibit credit risk and are likely to be highly illiquid</p>	<p>Each loan to a local authority company/LLP requires Member approval and each application is supported by the service rational/business case behind the loan and the likelihood of partial or full default. In general these loans will involve some form of security or clear cashflow that is available to service the debt.</p>	<p>£25M</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>k. Shareholdings in a local authority company / Corporate membership of local authority partnerships</p>	<p>These are service investments which may exhibit market risk and are likely to be highly illiquid.</p>	<p>Each equity investment in a local authority company/partnership requires Member approval and each application will be supported by the service rational behind the investment and the likelihood of loss.</p>	<p>£1m</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>l. Pooled Investment Vehicles</p> <p>(Low to Medium Risk)</p>	<p>These use an investment vehicle, for long term capital growth and income returns. These are liquid assets in the sense that there is a realizable market value, however there is a high risk of volatility in the short and medium term in relation to market values and dividend income streams.</p>	<p>The Common Good and Trust Funds Investment Strategy sets out the risk/return criteria and the asset allocation for these investments. It also sets out the mechanisms for monitoring and managing the performance of the funds. Using a Multi Asset fund to increase the diversification to manage the volatility risk of specific asset</p>	<p>£0</p>	<p>All balances nominated by the Common Good & Trust Fund Working Groups as approved by Council up to a maximum of £7.5m.</p>	<p>N/A</p>

		classes.			
m. Investment in the Subordinated Debt of projects delivered via the 'HubCo' model (Very Low Risk)	These are investments that are exposed to the success or failure of individual projects and are highly illiquid.	The Council and Scottish Government (via the SFT) are participants in and party to the governance and controls within the project structure. As such they are well placed to influence and ensure the successful completion of the project's term. These projects are based on robust business cases with a cashflow from public sector organisations (i.e. low credit risk)	£250,000	N/A	N/A

The Monitoring of Investment Counterparties

The status of counterparties will be monitored regularly. The Council receives credit rating and market information from Capita Asset Services, including when ratings change, and counterparties are checked promptly. On occasion ratings may be downgraded when an investment has already been made. The criteria used are such that a minor downgrading should not affect the full receipt of the principal and interest. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list immediately and if required new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list.

Use of External Fund Managers

It is the Council's policy to use external fund managers to manage the investment portfolios of the Scottish Borders Council Pension Fund and the pooled investment fund of the Common Good and Trust Funds. This Annex reflects the approved policies around the Common Good and Trust Fund Investment Strategy but specifically excludes, as allowed by regulations, the work undertaken by External Fund Managers in relation to the Scottish Borders Council Pension Fund.

ANNEX E

Credit Ratings

Long and Short Term Credit Ratings

Audit Commission Grading#	Fitch		Moody's		Standard and Poor's	
	Long Term	Short Term	Long Term	Short Term	Long Term	Short Term
Extremely strong grade	AAA	F1+	Aaa	P-1	AAA	A-1+
Very strong grade	AA+	F1+	Aa1	P-1	AA+	A-1+
	AA	F1+	Aa2	P-1	AA	A-1+
	AA-	F1+	Aa3	P-1	AA-	A-1+
Strong grade But susceptible to adverse conditions	A+	F1+ / F1	A1	P-1	A+	A-1+ / A-1
	A	F1	A2	P-1 / P-2	A	A-1
	A-	F1	A3	P-1 / P-2	A	A-1 / A-2
Adequate Grade	BBB+	F2	Baa1	P-2	BBB+	A-2
	BBB	F2 / F3	Baa2	P-2 / P-3	BBB	A-2 / A-3
	BBB-	F3	Baa3	P-3	BBB-	A-2
Speculative Grade	BB+	B	Ba1	NP *	BB+	B-1
	BB	B	Ba2	NP	BB	B-2
	BB-	B	Ba3	NP	BB-	B-3
Very Speculative Grade	B+	B	Ba1	NP	B+	-
	B	B	Ba2	NP	B	-
	B-	B	Ba3	NP	B-	-
Vulnerable Grade	CCC	C	Caa1	NP	CCC+	C
	CCC	C	Caa2	NP	CCC	C
	CCC	C	Caa3	NP	CCC-	C
	CC	C	-	NP	CC	C
	C	C	Ca	NP	C	C
Defaulting Grade	D	D	C	NP	D	D

for the purpose of standardisation based on Standard and Poor's credit rating definitions.

* NP – Not Prime

Source: Audit Commission adaptation of information from Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's

Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings

Continuing regulatory changes in the banking sector designed to see greater stability, lower risk and the removal of expectations of Government financial support should an institution fail. This withdrawal of implied sovereign support is anticipated to have an effect on ratings applied to institutions. This will result in the key rating agency information used to monitor counterparties will be the Short Term and Long Term ratings only. Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings previously applied will effectively become redundant. This change does not reflect deterioration in the credit environment but rather a change of method in response to regulatory changes

As a result of these rating agency changes, the credit element of creditworthiness methodology applied by Capita Asset Services will focus solely on the Short and Long Term ratings of an institution. Rating Watch and Outlook information will continue to be assessed where it relates to these categories. This is the same process for Standard & Poor's that has always taken, but a change to the use of Fitch and Moody's ratings. Furthermore, Credit Default Swap prices will continue to be used as an overlay to ratings in our new methodology.

Annex F

Benchmarking and Monitoring Security, Liquidity and Yield

The consideration and approval of security and liquidity benchmarks are also part of Member reporting. These benchmarks are targets and so may be breached from time to time. Any breach will be reported, with supporting reasons, in the annual treasury report.

Yield

These benchmarks are currently widely used to assess investment performance. Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

- Investments – **Internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate**

Security and liquidity benchmarks are already intrinsic to the approved treasury strategy through the counterparty selection criteria and some of the prudential indicators. Benchmarks for the cash type investments are below. In the other investment categories, appropriate benchmarks will be used where available.

Liquidity

This is defined as an organisation “having adequate, though not excessive, cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdrafts or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its business/service objectives” (CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice). In respect of liquidity, the Council seeks to maintain:

- Bank overdraft - £250,000
- Liquid short term deposits of at least £3,000,000 available with a week’s notice.

The availability of liquidity in the portfolio can be benchmarked by the monitoring of the Weighted Average Life (WAL) of the portfolio – shorter WAL would generally embody less risk. In this respect, the proposed benchmark to be used is:

- **WAL benchmark is expected to be 0.5 years, with a maximum of 1.00 years.**

Security of the investments

In the context of benchmarking, assessing security is a much more subjective area to assess. Security is currently evidenced by the application of minimum credit quality criteria to investment counterparties, primarily through the use of the Creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. Whilst this approach embodies security considerations, benchmarking levels of risk is more problematic. One method to benchmark security risk is to assess the historic level of default against the minimum criteria used in the Council’s investment strategy.

The Council’s maximum security risk benchmark for the whole portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:

- **0.04% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.**

These benchmarks are embodied in the criteria for selecting cash investment counterparties and these will be monitored and reported to Members in the Annual Treasury Management Report. As this data is collated, trends and analysis will be collected and reported.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

CIPFA	Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy
CIPFA Code	Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes
CFR	Capital Financing Requirement is the estimated the level of borrowing or financing needed to fund capital expenditure.
Consent to Borrow	Para 1 (1) of Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1975 (the 1975 Act) effectively restricts local authorities to borrowing only for capital expenditure. Under the legislation Scottish Ministers may provide consent for local authorities to borrow for expenditure not covered by this paragraph, where they are satisfied that the expenditure should be met by borrowing.
Gilts	A gilt is a UK Government liability in sterling, issued by HM Treasury and listed on the London Stock Exchange. The term “gilt” or “gilt-edged security” is a reference to the primary characteristic of gilts as an investment: their security. This is a reflection of the fact that the British Government has never failed to make interest or principal payments on gilts as they fall due.
LIBID	London Interbank Bid Rate The rate at which banks bid on Eurocurrency Deposits, being the rate at which a bank is willing to borrow from other banks.
MPC	Monetary Policy Committee
NHT	National Housing Trust initiative undertaken in partnership with the Scottish Futures Trust.
Other Long Term Liabilities	Balance sheet items such as Public Private Partnership (PPP), and leasing arrangements which already include borrowing instruments.
PPP	Public-Private Partnership.
Prudential Indicators	The Prudential Code sets out a basket of indicators (the Prudential Indicators) that must be prepared and used in order to demonstrate that local authorities have fulfilled the objectives of the Prudential Code.
QE	Quantitative Easing
Treasury Indicators	These consist of a number of Treasury Management Indicators that local authorities are expected to ‘have regard’ to, to demonstrate compliance with the Treasury Management Code of Practice.

You can get this document on tape, in Braille, large print and various computer formats by contacting the address below.

Capital & Investments Team, Corporate Finance, Scottish Borders Council, Council HQ, Newtown St Boswells
01835 824000, treasuryteam@scotborders.gov.uk